



भारत सरकार Government of India  
संचार मंत्रालय Ministry of Communications  
दूरसंचार विभाग Department of Telecommunications  
दूरसंचार इंजीनियरिंग केंद्र Telecommunications Engineering Centre  
अभिषरण एवं प्रसारण प्रभाग Convergence & Broadcasting Division



File No:14-8/2024-C&B/AI-incidents /3410942 Dated:27-05-2025

**Stakeholders consultation on “Draft Standard for the Schema and Taxonomy of an AI Incident Database in Telecommunications and Critical Digital Infrastructure”**

TEC has prepared a draft "TEC 57090:2025, Standard for the Schema and Taxonomy of an AI Incident Database in Telecommunications and Critical Digital Infrastructure", enclosed as **Annexure-I**, which provides the comprehensive harm taxonomy for an AI incident database. This taxonomy will systematically, categorise and document incidents to improve the understanding, prevention, and mitigation of AI-related harms.

Stakeholders are invited to submit their comments and feedback on the draft Standard by **27-07-2025**, using the format provided in **Annexure-II**.

Comments may be sent by Email with subject "Comments on Draft Standard for the Schema and Taxonomy of an AI Incident Database in Telecommunications and Critical Digital Infrastructure" to **jto-cb@gov.in**, with copies to **dircb2.tec-dot@gov.in**. and **ddgcb.tec@gov.in**.

Digitally signed by  
Ram Raj Yadava  
Date: 27-05-2025  
12:19:13 R.R.Yadava  
Director(Convergence & Broadcasting)



# टीईसी का मानक दस्तावेज टीईसी

(पूर्व सं: टीईसी/एसडी/NIL)/ (सं: टीईसी 57090:2025 को अधिक्रमित करता है)

## STANDARD DOCUMENT OF TEC TEC 57090:2025

### टेलीकम्युनिकेशन और महत्वपूर्ण डिजिटल अवसंरचना में एआई घटना डेटाबेस की संरचना और वर्गीकरण के लिए मानक

Standard for the Schema and Taxonomy of an AI Incident Database in Telecommunications  
and Critical Digital Infrastructure



ISO 9001:2015

दूरसंचार अभियांत्रिकी केंद्र

खुरशीदलाल भवन, जनपथ, नई दिल्ली-110001, भारत

TELECOMMUNICATION ENGINEERING CENTRE

KHURSHID LAL BHAWAN, JANPATH, NEW DELHI-110001, INDIA

[www.tec.gov.in](http://www.tec.gov.in)

© टीईसी, वर्ष

© TEC, YEAR

इस सर्वाधिकार सुरक्षित प्रकाशन का कोई भी हिस्सा, दूरसंचार अभियांत्रिकी केंद्र, नई दिल्ली की लिखित स्वीकृति के बिना, किसी भी रूप में या किसी भी प्रकार से जैसे - इलेक्ट्रॉनिक, मैकेनिकल, फोटोकॉपी, रिकॉर्डिंग, स्कैनिंग आदि रूप में प्रेषित, संग्रहीत या पुनरुत्पादित न किया जाए।

All rights reserved and no part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form and by any means - electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, scanning or otherwise, without written permission from the Telecommunication Engineering Centre, New Delhi.

---

**Release \_\_: Month, 2025**

## FOREWORD

Telecommunication Engineering Centre (TEC) is the technical arm of Department of Telecommunications (DOT), Government of India. Its activities include:

- Framing of TEC Standards for Generic Requirements for a Product/Equipment, Standards for Interface Requirements for a Product/Equipment, Standards for Service Requirements & Standard document of TEC for Telecom Products and Services
- Formulation of Essential Requirements (ERs) under Mandatory Testing and Certification of Telecom Equipment (MTCTE)
- Field evaluation of Telecom Products and Systems
- Designation of Conformity Assessment Bodies (CABs)/Testing facilities
- Testing & Certification of Telecom products
- Adoption of Standards
- Support to DoT on technical/technology issues

For the purpose of testing, four Regional Telecom Engineering Centers (RTECs) have been established which are located at New Delhi, Bangalore, Mumbai, and Kolkata.

## ABSTRACT

This Standard enumerates comprehensive harm taxonomy for an AI incident database. Artificial intelligence is increasingly being used in all domains including telecommunication and related ICT. While AI offers significant benefits, it also poses risks and harms, particularly when systems fail or are misused. This standard provides the comprehensive harm taxonomy for an AI incident database. This taxonomy will systematically, categorise and document incidents to improve the understanding, prevention, and mitigation of AI-related harms.

## CONTENTS

<b>1. Introduction</b>	5
<b>2. Usage of the Standard</b>	6
<b>3. Database Schema for Capturing AI Incidents</b>	7
The schema would have the following structure:	11
<b>4. Taxonomy</b>	12
<b>5. Reference</b>	14
<b>6. Abbreviations</b>	15

## **1. Introduction**

The rapid advancement and deployment of Artificial Intelligence(AI) technologies have transformed various sectors, including telecommunication, ICT and public services. AI systems are now instrumental in making decisions that affect individuals and society at large. Despite their numerous benefits, these systems are not without risks and challenges. Incidents of AI failure, biases, privacy violations, and unintended consequences have raised significant ethical, social, legal and technical concerns. These issues highlight the critical need for a structured approach to understand and manage the harms associated with AI.

This standard defines a standardized schema for AI incident databases in telecommunications and critical digital infrastructure. It also establishes a structured taxonomy for classifying AI incidents systematically. The schema ensures consistency in how incidents are recorded, making data collection and exchange more uniform across different systems. The harm taxonomy provides clear categories for classifying incidents based on their impact, improving transparency and accountability. This standard supports regulatory compliance, helps in risk assessment, and enhances incident response by ensuring AI-related risks are documented in a structured and consistent manner.

## **2. Usage of the Standard**

This standard defines structured data fields and classification criteria for AI incident databases in telecommunications and critical digital infrastructure. It ensures consistency in documentation and enables interoperability across reporting frameworks, supporting data-driven policy decisions without prescribing specific mitigation strategies.

### **2.1 Users of Standard**

#### **2.1.1 Organisations/ Individuals developing and deploying AI systems:**

Developers and deployers can utilise the harm taxonomy to capture and document incidents during the development and testing phase of AI systems. This will help in identifying the patterns of failure or risk, informing the design of safer and more reliable AI technologies.

#### **2.1.2 Policy Makers and Regulators:**

One goal of this Standard is to ensure that development of policies and regulations related to AI technologies are based on comprehensive and systematically gathered data. This will promote the development of fair and safe AI systems.

#### **2.1.3 Researchers and Academia:**

This provides a rich dataset for research on AI ethics, safety and performance contributing to academic knowledge and innovation.

#### **2.1.4 Incident Reporters:**

This ensures that individuals reporting incidents, including employees, users, or whistleblowers, have their reports structured comprehensively and are useful for subsequent analysis and action.

### 3. Database Schema for Capturing AI Incidents

This standard defines a database structure for systematically capturing AI incidents, ensuring thorough documentation for analysis and improvement of AI systems. It specifies key data fields essential for incident reporting, along with optional fields for additional context as follows (ref. 3):

1. **Incident ID:** A unique identifier assigned to each incident.
2. **Incident Title:** A concise title that encapsulates the incident.
3. **Incident Summary:** A detailed overview of the incident, up to 250 words.
4. **Incident Date:** The exact date (and time, if applicable) when the incident took place.
5. **Incident Location(s):** The geographical area(s) where the incident occurred.
6. **Affected Party(ies):** The individuals, organizations, or entities impacted by the incident.
7. **Sector(s) Impacted:** The industry or sector affected by the incident.
8. **Incident Issue(s):** The specific concerns related to the system, governance, technology, or third-party actions.
9. **AI Application Name(s):** The name of the AI system or application involved in the incident.
10. **Application Version:** The specific version of the AI application in use.
11. **Application Technology(ies):** The technologies employed within the AI application/system.
12. **Application Purpose(s):** The intended function or goal of the AI application.
13. **Application Deployer:** The organization or entity responsible for deploying the AI system.
14. **Application Developer:** The organization or entity that created the AI system.
15. **Application Transparency:** The level of clarity, accessibility, and accountability of the AI system to users and stakeholders, including the ability to challenge it.
16. **Incident severity:** The level of impact or seriousness of the incident.
17. **Physical Harm:** Any form of injury, damage, or adverse impact on the physical well-being of an individual or a group.
18. **Environmental Harm:** Any adverse impact or damage on the natural and built environment, affecting ecosystems, wildlife, quality of air, water or soil.
19. **Property Harm:** Damaging or destroying property of an individual, group or organisation.
20. **Psychological Harm:** Damage to mental health and well-being of an individual or a group.
21. **Reputational Harm:** Damage of reputation to an individual, group or organisation.
22. **Financial Harm:** Impairment of financial assets of an individual, group or organisation.
23. **Fundamental Rights/ Human Rights Harm:** Damage to fundamental rights or human rights to an individual.



- 24. Link to incident description/ news article:** A URL directing to external sources for detailed information or news coverage of the incident.
- 25. Name of submitter:** The full name of the individual or organization submitting the incident report.
- 26. Email of submitter:** The contact email address of the submitter for follow-up and verification purposes.
- 27. Incident news source(s):** The sources, such as news articles or reports, from which information about the incident was obtained.
- 28. Extra information shared by the submitter:** Additional details or context provided by the submitter that may enhance the understanding of the incident.

S. No.	Field Name	Data Type	Description	Constraints
1.	<b>Incident ID</b>	Integer	A unique identifier assigned to each incident.	Primary Key, Auto-Increment
2.	<b>Incident Title</b>	Varchar (255)	A concise title that encapsulates the incident.	Not Null
3.	<b>Incident Summary</b>	Text	A detailed overview of the incident, up to 250 words.	
4.	<b>Incident Date</b>	DateTime	The exact date and time when the incident occurred.	Not Null
5.	<b>Incident Location(s)</b>	Varchar (255)	The geographical area(s) where the incident occurred.	
6.	<b>Affected Party(ies)</b>	Varchar (255)	Individuals, organizations, or entities impacted.	
7.	<b>Sector(s) Impacted</b>	Varchar (255)	The industry or sector affected by the incident.	
8.	<b>Incident Issue(s)</b>	Text	Specific concerns related to the system or third-parties.	
9.	<b>AI Application Name(s)</b>	Varchar (255)	Name of the AI system involved in the incident.	
10.	<b>Application Version</b>	Varchar (50)	Specific version of the AI application in use.	
11.	<b>Application Technology(ies)</b>	Varchar (255)	Technologies employed within the AI system.	
12.	<b>Application Purpose(s)</b>	Varchar (255)	Intended function or goal of the AI application.	
13.	<b>Application Deployer</b>	Varchar (255)	Organization responsible for deploying the AI system.	

14.	<b>Application Developer</b>	Varchar (255)	Organization that created the AI system.	
15.	<b>Application Transparency</b>	Varchar (255)	Level of clarity and accountability of the AI system.	
16.	<b>Incident Severity</b>	Varchar (50)	Degree of impact or seriousness of the incident.	
17.	<b>Physical Harm</b>	Boolean	Indicates if there was physical harm caused.	
18.	<b>Environmental Harm</b>	Boolean	Indicates if there was environmental harm caused.	
19.	<b>Property Harm</b>	Boolean	Indicates if there was property damage or destruction.	
20.	<b>Psychological Harm</b>	Boolean	Indicates if there was psychological harm caused.	
21.	<b>Reputational Harm</b>	Boolean	Indicates if there was reputational damage.	
22.	<b>Financial Harm</b>	Boolean	Indicates if there was financial impairment.	
23.	<b>Fundamental Rights/Human Rights Harm</b>	Boolean	Indicates if there was a violation of human rights.	
24.	<b>Link to Incident Description/News Article</b>	URL	Hyperlinks to external sources detailing the incident.	
25.	<b>Name of submitter</b>	Varchar (50)	Name of the submitter	Not Null
26.	<b>Email of submitter</b>	Varchar (255)	Email of the submitter	Not Null

27.	<b>Incident news source(s)</b>	URL	Hyperlinks to external sources detailing the incident.	Not Null
28.	<b>Extra information shared by the submitter</b>	Text	Provide additional context, comments, or observations, which may support incident analysis or provide relevant background information not covered in standard fields.	

### 3.1 The schema would have the following structure:

All incidents will be submitted by incident reporters with necessary evidence to support the occurrence of the incident. Following are the details required from the incident reporter.

1. **Name of submitter:** The name of the person reporting the incident.
2. **Contact details of submitter:** The email and/or mobile number of the incident reporter.
3. **Incident news source(s):** The origin or source from which the incident reporter learned about the incident.
4. **Designation**
5. **Qualification**
6. **Authorized to Report(Yes/No)**

#### 4. Taxonomy

The proposed taxonomy categorizes AI incidents in critical digital infrastructure, addressing sector-specific challenges in telecommunications and energy. It classifies incidents based on type, affected systems, severity, failure cause, and harm, with subcategories for detailed analysis (ref. 3).

Category	Subcategory	Examples
<b>Incident type</b>	Network Disruption	Telecom network outages, power grid failures.
	Service Quality Degradation	Slower internet speeds, voltage fluctuations.
	Security Breach	Data breaches, unauthorized access.
	AI Mismanagement	Incorrect resource allocation, faulty AI decisions.
	Operational Failure	Trading system errors, logistics failures.
	Predictive Maintenance Failure	Unpredicted power outages, hardware failures.
<b>Affected system</b>	Core Network	Failure in central telecom switches, energy grid control centers.
	Edge/Access Networks	Base station disruptions, edge server issues.
	Data Transmission Systems	Data link failures, fiber optic congestion.
	Virtualized/Cloud Infrastructure	Cloud service outages, virtual network issues.
	IoT Components	Faulty smart meters, IoT sensor failures.
	Physical Infrastructure	Security system malfunctions, HVAC failures.
<b>Incident severity</b>	Critical	Major nationwide outages, complete system failures.
	High	Significant disruptions, major service degradation.

	Moderate	Regional outages, partial service degradation.
	Low	Minor interruptions, brief service slowdowns.
<b>Cause of failure</b>	AI Misconfiguration	Misconfigured resource settings, faulty automation.
	Predictive Maintenance Error	Missed maintenance alerts, undetected failures.
	Security Vulnerability	Exploited AI weaknesses, data breach vulnerabilities.
	Human-Related AI Errors	Design flaws, oversight errors.
<b>Type of harm</b>	Physical Harm	Injuries from machinery failures, infrastructure damage.
	Environmental Harm	Increased emissions, environmental damage.
	Property Harm	Damage to telecom towers, power substations.
	Psychological Harm	Public anxiety from outages, distress from service disruptions.
	Reputational Harm	Loss of trust in service providers, damaged corporate credibility.
	Economic Harm	Revenue loss from outages, penalties for non-compliance.
	Legal/Regulatory Harm	Fines for GDPR breaches, regulatory sanctions.
	Human Rights Harm	Privacy violations, restricted freedoms from surveillance.

## 5. References

1. Agarwal, A., & Nene, M. J. (2024, July). Addressing AI Risks in Critical Infrastructure: Formalising the AI Incident Reporting Process. In 2024 IEEE International Conference on Electronics, Computing and Communication Technologies (CONECCT) (pp. 1-6). IEEE.
2. Avinash, A., & Manisha, N. (2024, October). Advancing Trustworthy AI for Sustainable Development: Recommendations for Standardising AI Incident Reporting. In 2024 ITU Kaleidoscope: Innovation and Digital Transformation for a Sustainable World (ITU K) (pp. 1-8). IEEE.
3. Agarwal, A., & Nene, M. J. (2024, December). Standardised schema and taxonomy for AI incident databases in critical digital infrastructure. In 2024 IEEE Pune Section International Conference (PuneCon) (pp. 1-6). IEEE.

## 6. Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Full Form
AI	Artificial Intelligence
GDPR	General Data Protection Regulation
HVAC	Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning
ICT	Information Communication Technology
IoT	Internet of Things
URL	Uniform Resource Locator

---

**\*\*End of Standard\*\***



## Annexure-II

### **Template for submitting Comments or Feedback**

[Comments on each section/sub section/table/figure etc. be stated in a fresh row. Information/comments should include reasons for comments and suggestions for modified wordings of the clause]

Name of Commentator/Organization .....

S. No.	Section of the Draft Standard	Clause/Para/Table/ Figure No. of draft Standard	Comments/ Suggested modified Wordings	Justification for proposed Change
1.				
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				
10.				

Note- Kindly insert more rows as necessary for each clause/table, etc.

Name:

Email:

Mobile: